A Petition for Extension of Time is being concurrently filed with this Amendment. Thus,

Docket No.: 3493-0126P

this Amendment is being timely filed.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the present application in

REMARKS

view of the foregoing amendments to the claims and the following remarks.

Status of Claims

In the present Amendment, claims 1-31 and 35-43 are canceled herein without prejudice

or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein. Applications reserve the right to file a

divisional or continuation application(s) directed to the canceled subject matter. Claims 32-34

are allowed.

Applicants note that claims 32 and 33 are amended to delete the value of "9.960" which

appears twice in each claim. Also, the commas in the various values are replaced with periods.

Applicants note the various Tables in the present specification showing such values (for instance,

at pages 30-31). No new matter has been added.

Based upon the above considerations, entry of the present amendment is respectfully

requested.

In view of the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner

withdraw all rejections and allow the currently pending claims.

4 of 6

ADM/ETP/las

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim

Docket No.: 3493-0126P

3 has been canceled herein. Thus, this rejection is rendered moot and withdrawal of this

rejection is respectfully requested.

Issues Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-2 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Chapleo et al. (U.S. 4,818,764) in view of Conte (U.S. 5,487,901). Claims 1-2 and 18-19 have

been canceled herein. Thus, this rejection is rendered moot and withdrawal of this rejection is

respectfully requested.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as claiming the same

invention as that of claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/974,675. Claims 1-6 have been

canceled herein. Thus, this provisional rejection is rendered moot and withdrawal of this

rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

5 of 6 ADM/ETP/las

Application No. 10/722,451

Art Unit 1617

Reply to Office Action of January 18, 2007

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Eugene T. Perez (Reg. No. 48,501)

at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

K

Dated: July 17, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 3493-0126P

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

6 of 6 ADM/ETP/las